# SOME COMMENTS ON THE USE OF THE FORWARD ANGLE DISSYMMETRY METHOD IN RAYLEIGH'S REGION* 

B.SEDLÁČEK and J.JOKL<br>Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry,<br>Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 16206 Prague 6

The forward angle dissymmetry method is suited for the particlesize determination in monodisperse systems of unknown concentration also in the case when the relative refractive index approaches unity, i.e. in Rayleigh's region. The dissymmetry values have been tabulated.

The forward angle dissymmetry method (FAD) is a modified method suggested by Maron and Pierce ${ }^{1}$; it is suited for the fast determination of the particle size and number in monodisperse systems, particularly if their concentration is not known ${ }^{2}$. We should like to demonstrate that the FAD method may also be applied successfully in Rayleigh's region $(m \rightarrow 1$, where $m$ is the relative refractive index of the particles) and that the method preserves its advantages which have been discussed in detail in both papers mentioned above ${ }^{1,2}$.

Table I gives the forward angle dissymmetry values $\zeta$ defined as the ratio of the intensities of light scattered at two angles smaller than $90^{\circ}$. In our case $\zeta=i_{30} / i_{45}$, $i_{45} / i_{60}$ and $i_{60} j i_{75}$. To evaluate the applicability of the FAD method we compared these data with those of classical dissymmetry, $z=i_{30} / i_{150}, i_{45} / i_{135}$ and $i_{60} / i_{120}$. (The symbol $L$ designates the particle size; $\lambda$ is the wavelength in a medium with the refractive index $n_{0}$, since it holds $\lambda=\lambda_{0} / n_{0}$ where $\lambda_{0}$ is the wavelength in vacuo. The quantities $L$ and $\lambda$ are expressed in the same units). The values were computed with a Wang model 614 programmable calculator.

The relationships $\zeta=f(L \mid \lambda)$ and $z=f(L / \lambda)$ are oscillating functions which attain the first maxima approximately between the following $L / \lambda$ values:

| Dissymmetry $\xi$ | $i_{30} / i_{45}$ | $i_{45} / i_{60}$ | $i_{60} / i_{75}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. maximum | $1.87-1.88$ | $1.43-1.44$ | $1.17-1.18$ |
| 2. maximum | $3.21-3.22$ | $2.46-2.47$ | $2.01-2.02$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Dissymmetry $z$ | $i_{30} / i_{150}$ | $i_{45} / i_{135}$ | $i_{60} / i_{120}$ |
| 1. maximum | $0.73-0.74$ | $0.77-0.78$ | $0.82-0.83$ |
| 2. maximum | $1.27-1.28$ | $1.33-1.34$ | $1.41-1.42$ |

[^0]Table I
Forward Angle Dissymmetry Values, $\zeta$, for Pairs of Angles 30/45, 45/60, and 60/75

| $L / \lambda$ | (30/45) | (45/60) | (60/75) | $L / \lambda$ | (30/45) | (45/60) | (60/75) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 0.95 | 1.899 | 2.616 | $4 \cdot 211$ |
| $0 \cdot 10$ | 1.006 | 1.008 | 1.010 | 1.00 | 2.058 | 3.032 | 5.898 |
| $0 \cdot 15$ | 1.014 | 1.019 | 1.022 | 1.05 | 2.247 | 3.598 | 9.583 |
| $0 \cdot 20$ | 1.026 | 1.034 | 1.039 | $1 \cdot 10$ | 2.474 | 4.405 | 21.46 |
| 0.25 | 1.040 | 1.053 | 1.063 | $1 \cdot 15$ | 2.747 | 5.621 | 151.0 |
| $0 \cdot 30$ | 1.059 | 1.078 | 1.093 | $1 \cdot 20$ | 3.082 | 7.607 | (105.8) |
| 0.35 | 1.081 | 1.109 | $1 \cdot 130$ | $1 \cdot 25$ | 3.497 | 11.26 | (7.931) |
| $0 \cdot 40$ | 1.108 | $1 \cdot 145$ | $1 \cdot 176$ | $1 \cdot 30$ | 4.022 | $19 \cdot 28$ | (1.637) |
| 0.45 | 1.139 | $1 \cdot 190$ | 1.231 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 4.699 | $45 \cdot 38$ | (0.351) |
| $0 \cdot 50$ | 1.176 | $1 \cdot 242$ | $1 \cdot 300$ | 1.40 | 5.593 | 279.5 | (0.003) |
| 0.55 | 1.218 | 1.305 | 1.384 | 1.45 * | 6.811 | (569.5) | (0.001) |
| 0.60 | 1.267 | 1.379 | 1.487 | $1 \cdot 50$ | 8.535 | (38.31) | (0.111) |
| $0 \cdot 65$ | 1.323 | 1.468 | 1.617 | 1.55 | 11.10 | (10.60) | (0.286) |
| 0.70 | 1.387 | 1.575 | 1.781 | 1.60 | $15 \cdot 15$ | (4.124) | (0.531) |
| 0.75 | 1.462 | 1.703 | 1.995 | 1.65 | $22 \cdot 19$ | (1-806) | (0.869) |
| $0 \cdot 80$ | 1.548 | 1.861 | 2.282 | 1.70 | $36 \cdot 15$ | (0.797) | (1.352) |
| 0.85 | 1.648 | $2 \cdot 056$ | $2 \cdot 682$ | 1.75 | $70 \cdot 68$ | (0.316) | (2.088) |
| 0.90 | 1.763 | $2 \cdot 301$ | $3 \cdot 272$ | 1.80 | 203.8 | (0.090) | (3.325) |

We can see that also in Rayleigh's region the range of applicability of the FAD method is approximately twice that of the classical dissymmetry method. It is then possible, by using Table I, to obtain the required $L$ values for the given wavelength $\lambda$ from experimental data. By using light having a longer wavelength one can also somewhat extend the applicability of the method: the ratio of $L$ values obtained at two wavelengths gives a rough information about the particle distribution width in the system under investigation.

The authors are indebted to Mrs O. Lisnerová and Mrs H. Taucová for technical assistance.
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[^0]:    * Part XXIII in the series Light Scattering; Part XXII: This Journal 36, 2625 (1971).

